

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/764,954		01/18/2001	Kenneth James	Barker	RAL 920000117 USI	6985		
25299	7590	06/07/2004			EXAMINER			
IBM COI	IBM CORPORATION					CANGIALOSI, SALVATORE A		
PO BOX	2195							
DEPT 9C	CA, BLD	G 002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
RESEAR	CH TRIA	NGLE PARK, NO	27709		2661	6		
					DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/764,954	BARKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Salvatore Cangialosi	2661					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejtion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty repriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>).</b>				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 August 2002						
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
·=		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	ı				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	aments have been received.  Iments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.5.</li> </ol>	SB/08) 5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·					

Art Unit: 2661

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

2. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Aimoto in view of Peltola et al(both cited by applicants).

Regarding claim 22, Aimoto (See Cols 7 and 8) disclose a switch with a communication status measurement circuit(element 106) which measures switch congestion which controls a cell discard judgment unit which determines whether to discard data substantially as claimed. It is noted that that a cell is the functional equivalent of a frame. The differences between the above and the claimed invention are the specific frame discard instead of cell discard. Peltola et al (See Fig. 6) shows explicit frame discarding. It would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in this art to provide a similar

3

Serial Number: 09/764,954

Art Unit: 2661

arrangement Aimoto because they are well known and conventional functional equivalents of frame discarding in the prior art.

Regarding claim 23, Aimoto (See Cols 7 and 8) disclose a switch with a communication status measurement circuit(element 106) which measures switch congestion which controls a cell discard judgment unit which determines whether to discard data substantially as claimed. It is noted that that a cell is the functional equivalent of a frame. The differences between the above and the claimed invention are the specific frame discard instead of cell discard. Peltola et al (See Fig. 6) shows explicit frame discarding. It would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in this art to provide a similar arrangement Aimoto because they are well known and conventional functional equivalents of frame discarding in the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Salvatore Cangialosi at telephone number (703) 305-1837. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms, can be reached at (703) 305-4703.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Serial Number: 09/764,954 4

Art Unit: 2661

or faxed to (703)872-9306

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park
II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Sixth
Floor(Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SALVATORE CANGIALOSI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 222